

 جامعة الإمارات العربية المتحدة United Arab Emirates University 	Undergraduate Programs Procedures Manual	Related Policy	UP-06
		Effective Date	01-Sep-2014
	Subject	Most Recent Review Date	01-Dec-2013
	Periodic Program Review	Due Date for Next Review	01-Sep-2016
	Responsible Office: DVC for Academic Affairs	Pages of these Procedures	1 of 5

Procedures of Policy No. (6) - Periodic Program Review

1. Principles of Periodic Program Review

- Periodic Program Review (PPR) at UAEU is a process that allows the University to assess the well-being of an academic program, the academic development of the program, and strategic issues affecting the program. Assessments include how well the program aligns to the mission and goals of the UAEU, the College and the offering Department.
- PPR is a faculty-led and collegiate process, involving academic peer reviewers internal and external to the university. It is evidenced-based, drawing on multiple forms of data and input provided by a critical Self-Study and observations made in a site visit.
- PPR is formative. It leads to recommendations to develop the program and an action plan listing responsibilities at program, Department, College and senior administration levels.
- The UAEU requirements for Periodic Program Review may be satisfied by the initial and continuing requirements of accreditation agencies. The University may require supplementary reviews consistent with the principles of Periodic Program Review of the University.

2. Self- Study

The Self-Study should be a collaborative activity and should include the following sections:

- Program well-being: This section presents data-sets in at least the following areas, and provides an analytic narrative for each, in which the implications of conclusions drawn from data-analysis form the major part. Data presented should be longitudinal, covering the time since the last PPR.
 - Students
 - Student profile, admission, progression, withdrawal and transfer, and graduation rates.
 - Student-faculty ratio and patterns of change / required change. This section might comment on Credit Hours generated by each full time faculty and instructor, and use made of the program elements (courses) by students from outside the program/Department/College.
 - Student engagement in internship, research, volunteering and other program-relevant activity outside the campus.
 - Faculty
 - Faculty expertise and relation to current academic program / anticipated academic program change.
 - Faculty profile (turnover, qualifications, research activity, secondment) and faculty development activities (in administration, teaching and research). Trends and difficulties in faculty recruitment.
 - Surveys
 - Alumni profile: e.g. rates of employment (in areas relating to the program / not relating to the program), progression to further study, not seeking employment.

 جامعة الإمارات العربية المتحدة United Arab Emirates University 	Undergraduate Programs Procedures Manual		Related Policy	UP-06
			Effective Date	01-Sep-2014
	Subject		Most Recent Review Date	01-Dec-2013
	Periodic Program Review		Due Date for Next Review	01-Sep-2016
	Responsible Office: DVC for Academic Affairs		Pages of these Procedures	2 of 5

- Surveys of students, alumni, employer satisfaction.
- Reports of Advisory Boards (internal, external).
- Results of benchmarking activities (in the form of action known to be required to shift the profile/standards of the program).

(iv)Resources

- Administrative support to the program/Department. Staff development activities or requirements.
- Adequacy of library, laboratory, classroom, technical resources and support available to the program, faculty and students.

b) Assessment and development of the academic program: This section contains:

- (i) A mapping of the alignment of courses to program goals and institutional learning outcomes.
- (ii) A description of the methodologies used by program teams to evaluate student achievements against learning outcomes at course, program and institutional levels.
- (iii)Results since the date of the last PPR of annual assessments of program learning outcomes.
- (iv)Results of annual assessments of institutional learning outcome standards.
- (v) Presentation of the action plans developed on the basis of the results of the assessment activities, and evidence of action taken and its impact.
- (vi)Critical evaluation of the effectiveness of the assessment methodology, with discussion of various options to improve the process (validity, impact etc.)

c) Strategic Questions: This section must include an evidence-based analysis of the relationship between the program and the mission and goals of the Department, College and the UAEU. It must discuss how students have been involved in the Self Study process. It may also include analytical discussion of strategic issues affecting academic standards, the operation of the program or its future development.

d) Submission of the Self-Study:

- (i) Visit minus (10) weeks: Self Study submitted to College Dean for feedback (and revision).
- (ii) Visit minus (6) weeks: Finalized Self Study is received by the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (or the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Studies for Graduate Programs).
- (iii)The Office of the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (or the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Studies) will audit the study to check compliance with University Policy requirements.
- (iv)Visit minus (4) weeks: Self-Study sent to Review Panel. The Review Panel may request additional information through the Chair, prior to or in the process of their review visit.

3. Composition and Responsibilities of Review Panels

- a) Program Review Panel: Where there is no external accreditation process for a program, the UAEU Program Review Panel will be composed of individuals both internal and external to UAEU.

 جامعة الإمارات العربية المتحدة United Arab Emirates University 	Undergraduate Programs Procedures Manual	Related Policy	UP-06
		Effective Date	01-Sep-2014
	Subject	Most Recent Review Date	01-Dec-2013
	Periodic Program Review	Due Date for Next Review	01-Sep-2016
	Responsible Office: DVC for Academic Affairs	Pages of these Procedures	3 of 5

- b) Chair: The Program Review Panel is chaired by one of the external members. The Chair is responsible for the management of meetings and other activities of the Review Panel. S/he coordinates review panel input into the report and recommendations and is responsible for filing the agreed report within four weeks of the date of the Review Panel visit.
- c) External members of the Review Panel: The Dean is responsible for recommending up to three reviewers for approval by the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (or the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Studies), at least six months in advance of the site visit. The criteria for selection as external reviewer are:
 - (i) Distinguished scholars/teachers/practitioners in the disciplinary field.
 - (ii) Hold a terminal degree in the appropriate discipline.
 - (iii) Experience with program administration and/or significant leadership role in higher education.
 - (iv) Experience with student learning assessment, institutional accreditation, and/or professional accreditation.
 - (v) Employed at an academic institution outside the UAEU; preferably appointment at an institution which the College identifies as a peer or benchmark institution.
 - (vi) No conflict of interest such as recent employment or consultation with UAEU.
- d) Internal members of the Program Review Panel: The internal members of the Review Panel are selected by the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (or the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Studies), and will normally be:
 - (i) Two faculty external to the Department hosting the academic program. These faculty would normally hold the rank of Professor and/or have held senior responsibility within a College.
 - (ii) A Dean of another College.
- e) The internal members of the Review Panel participate in the full range of Review Panel activities, and lend to discussions their experience of the UAEU context. They participate fully in meetings, discussions and observation activities, and can access and request the full range of information/meetings.
- f) The internal members of the Review Panel have particular responsibility for ensuring recommendations are contextualized, and take account of the University mission and strategic plan.
- g) Administrative Support: The administration of the PPR is led by the Office of the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs in coordination with the Office of the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Studies for Graduate Programs.
- h) Confidentiality: All members of the Review Panel are contractually obliged to preserve confidentiality of findings if these do not form part of the formal report. In exceptional cases the Chair of the Review Panel may designate a section of the report as confidential to a specified and restricted audience.

4. Program Review Panel Visit

- a) Visit minus (2) weeks: Review Panel conference call to hear individual readings of the self-study and confirm Discussion agenda for visit. The Review Panel Chair may assign responsibilities to the Panel members. The PPR Manager coordinates to ensure

 جامعة الإمارات العربية المتحدة United Arab Emirates University 	Undergraduate Programs Procedures Manual	Related Policy	UP-06
		Effective Date	01-Sep-2014
	Subject	Most Recent Review Date	01-Dec-2013
	Periodic Program Review	Due Date for Next Review	01-Sep-2016
	Responsible Office: DVC for Academic Affairs	Pages of these Procedures	4 of 5

that the program of activities planned by the Review Panel Chair is scheduled by the College (appointments, rooms, catering).

- b) A Review Panel will meet with:
 - (i) Senior UAEU and College administrators or their designates.
 - (ii) Faculty members and instructors in the Department.
 - (iii) Program Chair/teams for each program review.
 - (iv) Chairs of the Department's Curriculum and Assessment committees.
 - (v) Students.
 - (vi) Employers / Professional Advisory Boards.
 - (vii) Alumni.
 - (viii) Faculty members to review and discuss examples of student work, graded at the lowest, average and highest marks.
- c) The site visit should also include visits to classrooms to observe student learning, the opportunity to talk with faculty individually, and a tour of relevant facilities (library, laboratory, etc.).
- d) The visit should enable the Review Panel members to address the following issues within their report, and to make recommendations in their range of focus (but not restricted to them).
 - (i) Standards
 - Are the course content and the programs of study of sufficient academic rigor, addressing the outcome standards of the Qualification Framework Emirates for the qualification?
 - Are the students meeting the programs' student learning outcomes and demonstrating success?
 - Do the curriculum and courses support student learning outcomes?
 - Are program curricula based upon a solid core of knowledge with clearly articulated educational objectives and learning outcome standards?
 - Do the programs engage students in the discipline(s)?
 - (ii) Assessment
 - Do the programs assess student learning adequately?
 - What changes have been made in the programs as a result of assessment results?
 - Are the changes appropriate to reflect continuous improvement?
 - Are students successful (e.g., retention and graduation rate, alumni results)?
 - (iii) Resources
 - Does the University environment support student learning outcomes?
 - Is there sufficient institutional support for the learning environment (e.g., library, tutoring and/or academic assistance, technology)?
 - Do the programs provide adequate mentoring/advising for students?
 - (iv) Strategic issues affecting the programs
 - What recommended action steps should be taken to address the strategic questions raised by the Department?
 - How are students involved in assessment and review of the program? Have they played a full role in the PPR?

 جامعة الإمارات العربية المتحدة United Arab Emirates University 	Undergraduate Programs Procedures Manual		Related Policy	UP-06
			Effective Date	01-Sep-2014
	Subject		Most Recent Review Date	01-Dec-2013
	Periodic Program Review		Due Date for Next Review	01-Sep-2016
	Responsible Office: DVC for Academic Affairs		Pages of these Procedures	5 of 5

5. Programs with External Accreditation Process

- A modification to Sections (3) and (4), above, is applied when an academic program is being reviewed as part of a professional accreditation process, which includes international reviewers and a site visit.
- The Deputy Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (or the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Research & Graduate Studies), will establish an Internal Review Committee (according to Section (3-d) above).
- The Internal Review Committee will review the program review standards of the external accreditation body against Sections (2) a), b) and c), above, and inform the Department of additional information and/or procedures needed to satisfy all UAEU PPR requirements.
- After the completion of the professional accreditation process, the Internal Review Committee will review the accreditation report and recommendations, the Self Study, and additional information provided by the Department. The Internal Review Committee will prepare a supplementary report, covering any additional recommendations that might be needed to satisfy UAEU PPR requirements.

6. Post Visit Reporting and Action Planning

- Visit plus (4) weeks: The Chair of the Program Review Panel forwards the finalized report to the Department Chair and College Dean for review and to the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (or the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Studies), for information. Where there is a program review by an external accreditation body, the Chair of the Internal Review Panel will forward its supplementary report at the same time.
- Visit plus (10) weeks: College Council revises/approves a Department action plan (action plan gives timed, budgeted and measurable steps taking forward recommendations, or a narrative justifying why action will not be taken on a specific recommendation).
- Visit plus (12) weeks: College Dean submits action plan to the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (or the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Studies.)
- Visit plus (1) year: Dean of College reports to the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (or the Deputy Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Studies) on progress against action plan. The Academic Council is notified of progress by the Deputy Vice Chancellor, as appropriate to the focus (e.g. undergraduate program, graduate program, Department or College responsibility, University responsibility, etc.)
- The University Programs and Curriculum Committee (or the Graduate Studies Council) will make regular analyses of the external review reports, action plans and progress reports, and will submit reports to the Academic Council on generic issues arising, particularly where these relate to the University strategic plan and learning outcome standards.